

Brian Eutermoser

Partner

Trial and Global Disputes / Intellectual Property

Denver: +1 720 535 2312
beutermoser@kslaw.com



Brian is a trial attorney who specializes in patent disputes and other complex litigation in state and federal courts and before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. He routinely represents clients in high-stakes cases involving injunctive relief and hundreds of millions of dollars in potential damages.

Brian's practice focuses on complex patent litigation and *inter partes* review proceedings across a wide variety of technologies, including medical devices, enterprise hardware and software, wireless communications, website development, semiconductors, and LEDs. He has experience in all aspects of patent litigation, including developing and implementing case strategies, managing day-to-day discovery and client communications, motion practice, fact and expert depositions, oral argument, trial preparation, and post-trial and appellate briefing. In addition to patent disputes, Brian has litigated trade secret, employment, and contract cases in state and federal courts.

Matters

Medline Industries v. C.R. Bard (Northern District of Illinois) – litigation counsel for Medline in multiple district court actions involving urology products and procedures

St. Lawrence Communications v. Motorola Mobility (Eastern District of Texas) – trial counsel for Motorola in a patent case involving speech coders used in cellular communications

Finjan v. Palo Alto Networks (Patent Trial and Appeal Board) – counsel for Palo Alto Networks in *inter partes* review proceedings involving malware detection software

Open Text v. Box.com (Northern District of California) – trial counsel for Open Text in a patent infringement action involving cloud storage systems

T3 Media v. BBC Worldwide (District of Colorado) – litigation counsel for the BBC in a media rights licensing dispute

HSM Portfolio v. Qualcomm (District of Delaware) – litigation counsel for Qualcomm in a patent case involving semiconductor and chipset technology

Quest Software v. Centrifly Corporation (District of Utah and Northern District of California) – litigation counsel for Quest in dual patent infringement actions involving authentication software for large computer networks

Finisar v. Oplink Communications (Northern District of California) – counsel for Oplink against a major competitor in a patent infringement suit concerning optical transceivers and microcontrollers

Credentials

EDUCATION

J.D., New York University

B.S. Mathematics and Physics-Engineering, Washington and Lee University

ADMISSIONS

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York

U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York

U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado

Colorado

New York

ASSOCIATIONS

American Bar Association

Federal Circuit Bar Association

News

IN THE NEWS

May 7, 2021 • Source: Law360

Law360 highlights the firm's partner promotions.

December 8, 2020 • Source: Intellectual Property Magazine

Intellectual Property Magazine highlights Britton Davis and Brian Eutermoser's partner promotion

PRESS RELEASE

December 3, 2020

King & Spalding Elects 16 New Partners and Promotes Five Counsel Across Nine Cities

[VIEW ALL ON KSLAW.COM](http://www.kslaw.com)