

Appellate, Constitutional and Administrative Law

Our Appellate, Constitutional and Administrative Law team succeeds in major appeals for our clients in virtually every litigation forum, including before the U.S. Supreme Court, federal and state appellate courts, government agencies, and national and international arbitration panels. In addition to cases originally tried by our firm at all levels of the state and federal court systems, we frequently handle high-profile appeals for cases tried by other firms.

We have brought major Supreme Court cases representing business interests and have played a principal role in significant administrative law and regulatory cases before the federal courts of appeals. In the life sciences and healthcare area, we frequently advise on matters involving state regulatory agencies, the Food & Drug Administration, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and major federal False Claims Act litigation. We also frequently represent leading energy companies in significant state and federal appellate matters. And we are often involved in high-stakes, leading-edge intellectual property matters, including Section 337 matters, before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court.

Recognized for the level, complexity, and number of appellate matters we handle, our Washington, D.C.-based team is co-chaired by a former Acting Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division of the Department of Justice. It includes numerous attorneys with prestigious appellate clerkships, including for Justices on the U.S. Supreme Court.

Capability Lawyers



Jeffrey S. Bucholtz *Washington*, *D.C.*



Paul Alessio Mezzina Washington, D.C.



Ashley C. Parrish Washington, D.C.



Anne M. Voigts Silicon Valley

Recognition

Supreme Court and Appellate Firm of the Year (2015)

LEGAL 500

Nationally Ranked by Chambers & Partners

Law360 Appellate Group of the Year (2013)

Our Appellate team has deep expertise in governmentfacing litigation with a reputation for assisting clients in significant administrative and constitutional law matters. We often provide strategic counseling and advice on legal issues well before they reach the appellate level. And we are frequently retained to brief and argue complex legal issues in trial courts, and regularly assist clients with:

- pretrial motions;
- preparation of jury instructions;
- presentation of motions and objections during trial;
- post-trial motions; and
- pre-appellate evaluation and mediation of cases.

We also often analyze pending legislation and regulations to assess their constitutionality and the viability of potential legal challenges.

Cases & Deals

January 21, 2021

King & Spalding team prevailed in the Court of Appeal and the Defendants are named as Secretariat, one of the largest firm of experts in the world.

January 15, 2021

R.J. Reynolds Obtains Reversal of \$15.5M Judgment

November 13, 2020

K&S Secures Precedent-Setting Victory in Challenge to Medicare DSH Program

VIEW ALL

Insights

ARTICLE

June 24, 2022

Georgia Supreme Court Declines to Adopt Apex Doctrine but Offers Some Protection to High-Ranking Executives

CLIENT ALERT

May 25, 2022

Morgan v. Sundance: Prejudice Not Required To Waive Arbitration Rights

NEWSLETTER

April 11, 2022

Health Headlines – April 11, 2022

VIEW ALL

Events

SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT

February 21, 2022

Heather Howard to Speak at International Association of

Defense Counsel Midyear Meeting

WEBINAR

January 26, 2022 California MCLE Institute

SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT

February 23, 2021 Anne Voigts to Speak at The Bar Association of San Francisco Event

VIEW ALL

News

IN THE NEWS

June 17, 2022

Mark Polston discusses the Supreme Court case involving the HHS over 340B program discounts

IN THE NEWS

June 16, 2022

Holmes Hawkins, Russell Blythe, Jeffrey Bucholtz and Joshua Mitchell counsel Atlanta Gas Light in a patent dispute before the U.S. Court of Appeals

IN THE NEWS

June 6, 2022

Joe Akrotirianakis, Jeffrey Bucholtz and Matthew Noller represent NSO Group in its challenge to a Ninth Circuit ruling before the U.S. Supreme Court

VIEW ALL