

---

CHAMBERS GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDES

---

# Pharmaceutical Advertising 2023

---

Definitive global law guides offering  
comparative analysis from top-ranked lawyers

**USA: Law & Practice**

Seth H Lundy, Nikki Reeves,  
Heather Bañuelos and Gillian Russell  
King & Spalding LLP

## Law and Practice

### Contributed by:

Seth H Lundy, Nikki Reeves,  
Heather Bañuelos and Gillian Russell  
**King & Spalding LLP see p.24**



## Contents

<b>1. Pharmaceutical Advertising: Regulatory Framework</b>	<b>p.4</b>	<b>5. Advertising to Healthcare Professionals</b>	<b>p.11</b>
1.1 Laws and Self-Regulatory Codes Regulating Advertising on Medicines	p.4	5.1 Restrictions on Information Contained in Advertising Directed at Healthcare Professionals	p.11
1.2 Application and Legal Value of Regulatory Codes to Advertising on Medicines	p.4	5.2 Reference to Data Not Included in the Summary of Product Characteristics	p.12
<b>2. Scope of Advertising and General Principles</b>	<b>p.5</b>	5.3 Advertising of Combination Products	p.13
2.1 Definition of Advertising	p.5	5.4 Restrictions on Reprints of Journal Articles for Healthcare Professionals	p.13
2.2 Information or Advertising: Disease Awareness Campaigns and Other Patient-Facing Information	p.5	5.5 Medical Science Liaisons	p.13
2.3 Restrictions on Press Releases Regarding Medicines	p.6	<b>6. Vetting Requirements and Internal Verification Compliance</b>	<b>p.13</b>
2.4 Comparative Advertising for Medicines	p.6	6.1 Requirements for Prior Notification/Authorisation	p.13
<b>3. Advertising of Unauthorised Medicines or Unauthorised Indications</b>	<b>p.6</b>	6.2 Compliance With Rules on Medicinal Advertising	p.14
3.1 Restrictions on Provision of Information on Unauthorised Medicines or Indications	p.6	<b>7. Advertising of Medicinal Products on the Internet</b>	<b>p.14</b>
3.2 Provision of Information During a Scientific Conference	p.6	7.1 Regulation of Advertising of Medicinal Products on the Internet	p.14
3.3 Provision of Information to Healthcare Professionals	p.7	7.2 Restrictions on Access to Websites Containing Advertising Intended for Healthcare Professionals	p.14
3.4 Provision of Information to Healthcare Institutions	p.8	7.3 Provision of Disease Awareness Information to Patients Online	p.15
3.5 Information About Early Access or Compassionate Use Programmes	p.8	7.4 Online Scientific Meetings	p.15
<b>4. Advertising Pharmaceuticals to the General Public</b>	<b>p.9</b>	7.5 Use of Social Media	p.15
4.1 Main Restrictions on Advertising Pharmaceuticals to the General Public	p.9	<b>8. Pharmaceutical Advertising: Inducement/Anti-bribery</b>	<b>p.16</b>
4.2 Information Contained in Pharmaceutical Advertising to the General Public	p.10	8.1 General Anti-bribery Rules Applicable to Interactions Between Pharmaceutical Companies and Healthcare Professionals	p.16
4.3 Restrictions on Interactions Between Patients or Patient Organisations and Industry	p.11	8.2 Legislative or Self-Regulatory Provisions	p.17

<b>9. Gifts, Hospitality, Congresses and Related Payments</b>	p.17	<b>11. Pharmaceutical Advertising: Enforcement</b>	p.21
9.1 Gifts to Healthcare Professionals	p.17	11.1 Pharmaceutical Advertising: Enforcement Bodies	p.21
9.2 Limitations on Providing Samples to Healthcare Professionals	p.18	11.2 Initiating Proceedings for Pharmaceutical Advertising Infringements	p.21
9.3 Sponsorship of Scientific Meetings	p.18	11.3 Penalties for Violating Pharmaceutical Advertising Rules and Rules on Inducements to Prescribe	p.22
9.4 Sponsorship of Cultural, Sports or Other Non-scientific Events	p.19	11.4 Relationship Between Regulatory Authorities and Courts	p.22
9.5 Grants or Donations to Healthcare Professionals or Healthcare Institutions	p.19	11.5 Recent Enforcement Trends in Relation to Pharmaceutical Advertising	p.22
9.6 Restrictions on Rebates or Discounts to Healthcare Professionals or Healthcare Institutions	p.19	<b>12. Veterinary Medicines</b>	p.23
9.7 Payment for Services Provided by Healthcare Professionals	p.20	12.1 Advertising Veterinary Medicines	p.23
9.8 Prior Authorisations or Notifications for Activities Between Pharmaceutical Companies, Healthcare Professionals and Healthcare Organisations	p.20		
<b>10. Pharmaceutical Companies: Transparency</b>	p.20		
10.1 Requirement for Pharmaceutical Companies to Disclose Details of Transfers of Value	p.20		
10.2 Foreign Companies and Companies That Do Not Yet Have Products on the Market	p.21		

## 1. Pharmaceutical Advertising: Regulatory Framework

### 1.1 Laws and Self-Regulatory Codes Regulating Advertising on Medicines The FDA's Authority Over Prescription Drug Advertising and Promotion

The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA) grants the United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) broad authority over the advertising and promotion of prescription drugs. FDA regulations, found in Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), outline the requirements for prescription drug advertising and promotion. FDA guidance documents, found on the FDA's website and published in the Federal Register, describe specific FDA policies related to prescription drug marketing.

The FDA's Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) is charged with ensuring that prescription drug advertising and promotion is truthful, balanced and not misleading. The FDA's Advertising and Promotional Labeling Branch (APLB) is responsible for the same for licensed biological products. Among other things, the OPDP and APLB provide written advisory comments on proposed promotional materials, review complaints about alleged violations, and issue untitled or warning letters citing false or misleading promotional materials.

#### The FTC's Authority Over Promotion of OTC Drugs

The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Act (FTCA) prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce", including the dissemination of false advertising for drugs. Under a joint FDA/FTC Memorandum of Understanding, the FDA holds primary jurisdiction over the labelling of all drugs and the advertising of prescription drugs, while the FTC maintains primary authority

over the advertising of non-prescription drugs (also known as over-the-counter (OTC) drugs); see **2.1 Definition of Advertising**.

#### Other Sources of Oversight of Drug Promotion

State consumer protection laws, both civil and criminal, also prohibit false or misleading advertising.

The Lanham Act (15 USC 1125(a)) allows competitors and other entities that have suffered commercial harm to sue for false or misleading advertising.

Promotional activities may implicate the criminal Anti-Kickback Statute (AKS) (42 USC 1320a-7b) and the Civil Money Penalties Statute (42 USC 1320a-7a); see **8.1 General Anti-bribery Rules Applicable to Interactions Between Pharmaceutical Companies and Healthcare Professionals**. Violations of the AKS may also result in violations of the civil False Claims Act (31 USC 3729); see **11.1 Pharmaceutical Advertising: Enforcement Bodies**.

### 1.2 Application and Legal Value of Regulatory Codes to Advertising on Medicines

Some trade or medical associations issue voluntary guidelines on pharmaceutical advertising and promotion. These guidelines address a variety of issues, ranging from funding continuing medical education, engaging physicians as speakers or consultants, and giving gifts or items of value to physicians.

While the FDA's and FTC's rules are enforced through law, voluntary self-regulatory codes and professional guidelines establish standards of acceptable behaviour but hold no legal authority. The Pharmaceutical Research and Manufactur-

ers of America (PhRMA) has a Code on Interactions with Healthcare Professionals (PhRMA Code) which provides guidelines for pharmaceutical companies when interacting with healthcare professionals (HCPs). Though the code is voluntary, the US Department of Health and Human Services' Office of Inspector General (OIG) endorsed its use in a 2003 guidance document. Thus, many pharmaceutical companies adopt the PhRMA Code as company policy and some states have made it mandatory for pharmaceutical companies operating within their borders.

Other third-party guidelines relevant to communications about pharmaceuticals include:

- PhRMA's Direct to Consumer Advertising Principles;
- PhRMA's Principles on Responsible Sharing of Truthful and Non-Misleading Information;
- the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) Standards; and
- the American Medical Association (AMA) policies.

In addition, the National Advertising Division (NAD), a non-judicial, advertising industry self-regulatory body, adjudicates advertising disputes brought by consumers, competitors or the NAD itself.

## 2. Scope of Advertising and General Principles

### 2.1 Definition of Advertising

The FDA's authority under the FDCA includes oversight of promotional labelling for all drugs and advertising for prescription drugs. Section 201(m) of the FDCA defines drug labelling as "all labels and other written, printed or graphic matter (1) upon any article or any of its containers

or wrappers, or (2) accompanying such article". Courts have defined "accompanying" broadly to include most types of promotional materials (eg, brochures, literature reprints, mailers, printed or digital sales aids, emails, slide decks, videos, websites and social media posts).

The FDCA does not define advertising; however, FDA regulations provide examples such as "advertisements in published journals, magazines, other periodicals, and newspapers, and advertisements broadcast through media such as radio, television, and telephone communication systems".

### 2.2 Information or Advertising: Disease Awareness Campaigns and Other Patient-Facing Information

The FDA recognises certain limited categories of "non-promotional" communications that constitute neither labelling nor advertising and are, therefore, not subject to the requirements for prescription drug promotion under the FDCA.

One example of "non-promotional" information is disease awareness communications, which are communications disseminated to consumers or HCPs that discuss a particular disease or health condition, but do not mention or imply any specific drug. The FDA's long-standing policy is that disease awareness communications should be perceptually different (eg, different colour schemes, graphics, etc) and should appear physically separate from any branded advertising and promotion to avoid converting the disease awareness communication into implied promotion and advertising.

For additional examples of "non-promotional" communications, see **3.3 Provision of Information to Healthcare Professionals**, **3.4 Provision of Information to Healthcare Institutions** and

### 3.5 Information About Early Access or Compassionate Use Programmes.

#### 2.3 Restrictions on Press Releases Regarding Medicines

In general, the FDA expects press releases discussing an approved drug to comply with FDA regulatory requirements for promotional labelling, including being truthful and not misleading, maintaining fair balance between risks and benefits, and disclosing appropriate risk information.

Press releases about investigational drugs (eg, announcing significant clinical study results or the filing of a new drug application with the FDA) should be non-promotional in intent, tone and context, and avoid promotional claims and commercial objectives. The press release should truthfully and accurately present all material information. Press releases that make conclusory statements regarding the safety or efficacy of the investigational drug, mischaracterise study data, or fail to adequately disclose the investigational status of the drug could be viewed as pre-approval promotion, and thus as misbranding an investigational drug under the FDCA.

#### 2.4 Comparative Advertising for Medicines

Generally, the FDA requires that any comparative efficacy or safety claim be supported by scientifically appropriate and statistically sound data. The FDA does not typically permit a claim of superior efficacy or safety based solely on the differences in the FDA-approved labelling of drugs or a comparison of results from two different studies. Comparative claims should be clinically relevant to the approved use of the drug and must not be false or misleading.

## 3. Advertising of Unauthorised Medicines or Unauthorised Indications

### 3.1 Restrictions on Provision of Information on Unauthorised Medicines or Indications

The FDCA prohibits the introduction of a drug into interstate commerce that is intended for a use that has not been approved by the FDA. FDA regulations prohibit the promotion of an investigational (unapproved) drug as safe or effective for the purposes for which it is under investigation. This includes drugs that have never been approved, as well as unapproved indications for drugs that are approved for a different use.

Despite a broad prohibition on the promotion of unapproved drugs and indications, the FDA's current approach permits non-promotional communications about unapproved drugs and indications under the principles of scientific exchange. Importantly, a range of permissible communications qualify as scientific exchange, including:

- scientific publications and presentations;
- support for independent scientific and medical education;
- responding to unsolicited requests for information;
- distributing scientific or medical publications on unapproved uses and/or risks;
- listing information on [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov); and
- communications with payors in advance of approval.

### 3.2 Provision of Information During a Scientific Conference

Factual and non-promotional presentations, posters and abstracts about unapproved drugs or indications that are submitted to a scientific

conference are typically regarded as legitimate scientific exchange.

In addition, it is common practice for pharmaceutical companies to host booths or exhibits at scientific conferences, which may include a medical information booth. A medical information booth should be non-promotional and staffed by scientific or medical personnel. While companies should carefully consider promotional communications at both domestic and international conferences, there are no specific rules for medical information booths.

### 3.3 Provision of Information to Healthcare Professionals

As noted in 3.1 **Restrictions on Provision of Information on Unauthorised Medicines or Indications**, although the FDA strictly prohibits the promotion of unapproved drugs and uses, it allows non-promotional scientific exchange, including the following limited “safe harbours” through which manufacturers can distribute or support information to HCPs about unapproved (off-label) uses of approved drugs.

#### **FDA Off-Label Reprints Guidance – Proactive Distribution of Off-Label Reprints to HCPs**

The FDA permits the proactive distribution of off-label reprints under recommendations stated in three guidance documents:

- “Good Reprint Practices for the Distribution of Medical Journal Articles and Medical or Scientific Reference Publications on Unapproved New Uses of Approved Drugs and Approved or Cleared Medical Devices” (2009);
- “Distributing Scientific and Medical Publications on Unapproved New Uses – Recommended Practices” (draft guidance, 2014)

(hereafter “Off-Label Reprints Guidance”); and

- “Distributing Scientific and Medical Publications on Risk Information for Approved Prescription Drugs and Biological Products – Recommended Practices” (draft guidance, 2014) (hereafter “Risk Information Reprints Guidance”).

#### *The Off-Label Reprints Guidance*

The Off-Label Reprints Guidance provides recommendations for the distribution of off-label scientific or medical journal articles, scientific or medical reference texts, and clinical practice guidelines. Each type of publication is subject to specific recommendations to ensure that distribution is appropriate.

Generally, off-label reprints should not be false or misleading and should not pose a significant risk to public health. The source of the publication should be considered, and should not be letters to the editor, special supplements funded by the manufacturer, or abstracts. Additionally, reprints should be provided in a complete and unabridged format, without alteration. Off-label reprints should be distributed in a non-promotional manner, and accompanied by a copy of the product’s FDA-approved labelling – also known as the “prescribing information” or “package insert” (PI) – and a range of disclosures, including that the reprint discusses off-label uses of the company’s product.

#### *The Risk Information Reprints Guidance*

The Risk Information Reprints Guidance permits the distribution of reprints about new risk information that may refute, mitigate or refine risk information in the FDA-approved labelling. The reprint should meet the range of standards presented in the FDA’s Risk Information Reprints Guidance, including that it is published in an

independent, peer-reviewed journal and based on appropriate study design and methodology.

Risk information reprints should be distributed in a non-promotional manner, and accompanied by a copy of the product's PI and a range of disclosures, including that the information is not consistent with risk information in the FDA-approved labelling and the FDA has not reviewed the data.

### **FDA Unsolicited Requests Guidance – Reactive Distribution of Off-Label Information**

Under the FDA's 2011 draft guidance, "Responding to Unsolicited Requests for Off-Label Information About Prescription Drugs and Medical Devices", the FDA permits companies to respond to unsolicited requests for information on unapproved uses of approved prescription drug products. The guidance outlines the FDA's position and recommendations on:

- distinguishing between solicited versus unsolicited requests;
- distinguishing between public versus non-public requests; and
- responding to unsolicited requests.

### **Independent Scientific Education**

The FDA's 1997 guidance, "Industry-Supported Scientific and Educational Activities", makes clear that the FDA will not regulate industry-supported scientific activities that are independent of the influence and control of the supporting company. The guidance outlines a number of factors that the FDA will consider in evaluating the independence of industry-sponsored scientific activities, including those that may discuss unapproved drugs or off-label uses of approved drugs.

### **3.4 Provision of Information to Healthcare Institutions**

The FDA's 2018 guidance, "Drug and Device Manufacturer Communications with Payors, Formulary Committees, and Other Similar Entities – Questions and Answers" (Communications with Payors Guidance), established a safe harbour that expressly permits manufacturers to disseminate certain information about investigational drugs and unapproved uses of approved drugs to payor audiences prior to approval.

Communications disseminated in compliance with the guidance will not be considered violations of the prohibition on promotion of an investigational drug. The types of information about investigational drugs and unapproved uses of approved drugs that may be disseminated to payors before approval include:

- proposed indication;
- anticipated timeline for FDA approval;
- pricing;
- patient support programmes;
- patient utilisation projections; and
- results of clinical studies.

All information provided must be non-promotional, "unbiased, factual, accurate and non-misleading" and accompanied by a clear statement of the drug's investigational status and stage of development.

### **3.5 Information About Early Access or Compassionate Use Programmes**

Compassionate use or "expanded access" programmes establish a pathway for a patient with an imminently life-threatening or serious disease or condition to access an investigational drug when the treatment is unavailable in clinical trials and there are no other similar or sufficient therapy alternatives.



Under the 21st Century Cures Act, companies developing investigational drugs are required to publicly publish an expanded access policy on the company website and/or the Reagan-Udall Foundation's Expanded Access Navigator website for the investigational drug.

The published policy must include:

- contact information for the manufacturer or distributor;
- the procedure for submitting requests;
- the general criteria that the manufacturer or distributor uses to evaluate the requests;
- the length of time anticipated to respond to the request; and
- a hyperlink or other reference to the clinical trial record containing all the required information that must be submitted to ClinicalTrials.gov about expanded access availability for the drug.

## 4. Advertising Pharmaceuticals to the General Public

### 4.1 Main Restrictions on Advertising Pharmaceuticals to the General Public

Advertising to the general public, also commonly referred to as direct-to-consumer (DTC) advertising, is permitted in the US. Companies may promote prescription drugs to the general public provided that the communication meets the following fundamental requirements.

- **On-label or consistent with label:** advertising and promotion of prescription drugs must be consistent with the intended use for which the product is approved by the FDA, as established in the drug's FDA-approved labelling (ie, the PI). The labelling provides information on how to use the product safely and effec-

tively for the approved indication, including but not limited to the patient population, dosage and administration. Advertising and promotion that discuss uses of the product that are not contained in or consistent with the FDA-approved labelling are regarded as unlawful "off-label" promotion. Refer to the FDA's 2018 guidance, "Medical Product Communications That Are Consistent With the FDA-Required Labeling – Questions and Answers" (CFL Guidance), for details. See **5.2 Reference to Data Not Included in the Summary of Product Characteristics.**

- **Fair balance:** the FDA regulations require prescription drug promotion and advertising to present a "fair balance" between product benefits and risks, ensuring that such information appears comparable in depth, detail and context. Promotional materials are misleading if they fail to present information about risks associated with a drug with a prominence and readability reasonably comparable with the presentation of information related to the effectiveness of the drug. Refer to the FDA's 2009 draft guidance, "Presenting Risk Information in Prescription Drug and Medical Device Promotion", for details.
- **Adequately substantiated:** traditionally, all advertising and promotional claims about the safety or efficacy of a prescription drug have been required to be supported by substantial evidence or substantial clinical experience, which is the FDA's approval standard for prescription drug products. Under the CFL Guidance, claims should be supported by at least scientifically appropriate and statistically sound evidence.
- **Otherwise truthful and not misleading:** if prescription drug advertising and promotion is false or misleading in any particular, it will be considered misbranded under the FDCA and subject to enforcement.

Although not a requirement, the FDA strongly recommends the use of consumer-friendly language, and avoidance of technical language, scientific terms and medical jargon, in consumer-directed advertising and promotion.

The promotion of OTC drugs must also adhere to the product's approved labelling or monograph, as applicable. In addition, such promotion must be truthful and not misleading, including that all advertising claims are substantiated by competent and reliable scientific evidence. The FTC maintains regulations and guidelines governing consumer advertising to ensure that communications are not deceptive or misleading.

## 4.2 Information Contained in Pharmaceutical Advertising to the General Public

Consumer-directed prescription drug advertising and promotion must contain the following core elements, as required by the FDCA and FDA regulations.

### Core Elements

#### *Proprietary and established names*

The placement, size, prominence and frequency of the proprietary (brand or trade) and established (generic) names for prescription drugs are specified in FDA regulations, with additional recommendations in the FDA's 2017 guidance, "Product Name Placement, Size, and Prominence in Promotional Labeling and Advertisements".

#### *Quantitative composition*

Advertising and promotion must include the quantitative amount of each ingredient of the advertised drug. Companies commonly include this information as part of the product logo.

#### *Brief summary*

Printed DTC advertisements must include information in "brief summary" that discloses each side effect, warning, precaution and contraindication. To fulfil this requirement, DTC print advertisements traditionally included the complete risk-related sections from the product's PI. To fulfil the adequate directions for use requirement, a copy of the PI has traditionally been provided.

Contrary to these traditional approaches, the FDA's 2015 revised draft guidance, "Brief Summary and Adequate Directions for Use: Disclosing Risk Information in Consumer-Directed Print Advertisements and Promotional Labeling for Prescription Drugs", recommends that DTC printed promotional labelling and advertising utilise a "consumer brief summary" focused on the most important risk information, rather than an exhaustive list of product-related risks, presented in a way most likely to be understood by consumers. In addition, a copy of the PI is no longer recommended.

#### *Major statement*

Advertisements broadcast through media such as television, radio, or telephone communications systems must disclose the product's major risks in a clear, conspicuous and neutral manner in either audio or audio and visual. This is referred to as the "major statement". In addition, the advertisement must present a brief summary or, alternatively, make "adequate provision" for consumers to obtain the PI. The FDA's 1999 guidance documents, "Consumer-Directed Broadcast Advertisements" and "Consumer-Directed Broadcast Advertisements – Questions and Answers", provide recommendations for satisfying the adequate provision requirement through a toll-free telephone number, concurrent with a print advertisement in a widely distributed

publication, on a website, and/or in consultation with an HCP.

**Adverse event reporting disclosure statement**  
DTC print advertisements must include the following MedWatch statement printed in conspicuous text: “You are encouraged to report negative side effects of prescription drugs to the FDA. Visit [www.fda.gov/medwatch](http://www.fda.gov/medwatch), or call 1-800-FDA-1088.”

### Reminder Labelling and Advertising

Under FDA regulations, reminder labelling and advertising is exempt from the general requirements above if it is limited to the proprietary and established names of the drug, and does not include any indications, disease state information, dosage, or other product representations. Additional optional information includes quantitative ingredient statements, dosage form, quantity of package contents, price, the name and address of the manufacturer, and price information.

Importantly, reminder labelling and advertising is not permitted for a prescription drug with a boxed warning in its FDA-approved labelling.

### 4.3 Restrictions on Interactions Between Patients or Patient Organisations and Industry

Interactions between pharmaceutical companies and patients and/or patient organisations are permitted in the US, subject to the variety of limitations discussed in this chapter. For product-related advertising and promotion, communications must be on-label/CFL, fair and balanced, adequately substantiated and not otherwise false or misleading; see 4.1 **Main Restrictions on Advertising Pharmaceuticals to the General Public** and 4.2 **Information Contained in Pharmaceutical Advertising to the General Public**.

In addition, interactions must not implicate the AKS by inducing patient organisations or patients to recommend or use the advertised product; see 8. **Pharmaceutical Advertising: Inducement/Anti-bribery** and 9. **Gifts, Hospitality, Congresses and Related Payments**.

Companies may also communicate with patients and patient organisations, such as patient advocacy groups, in a non-promotional manner to respond to unsolicited requests for information (see 3.3 **Provision of Information to Healthcare Professionals**) or to provide information about clinical studies for recruitment purposes.

In addition, companies interacting with patients must abide by applicable federal and state privacy laws and avoid providing advice for the diagnosis, treatment, care or prognosis of an individual, which would be regarded as unlawfully engaging in the practice of medicine.

## 5. Advertising to Healthcare Professionals

### 5.1 Restrictions on Information Contained in Advertising Directed at Healthcare Professionals

Rules for the advertising and promotion of prescription drugs to HCPs are generally the same as those that apply to advertising and promotion to consumers, including the fundamental requirements (see 4.1 **Main Restrictions on Advertising Pharmaceuticals to the General Public**), as follows:

- on-label or consistent with label;
- fair balance;
- adequately substantiated; and
- otherwise truthful and not misleading.

Prescription drug promotion and advertising to HCPs must also provide adequate directions for use, a requirement that is met by providing a copy of the FDA-approved labelling (ie, the PI).

Advertising and promotion targeting HCPs must also contain some of the same core elements as DTC advertising and promotion, including proprietary and established names and quantitative composition; see **4.2 Information Contained in Pharmaceutical Advertising to the General Public**. Unlike DTC advertising, a “brief summary” for HCP-directed print advertisements should follow the FDA’s traditional approach, which means including the risk-related sections of the PI with the advertisement, but there is no requirement to include the MedWatch statement.

### Promotion and Advertising to Payors

Under the FDCA, a company may provide healthcare economic information (HCEI) related to a product’s indication to payor audiences, provided that it is supported by competent and reliable scientific evidence. The pathway to promote HCEI to payors grants some flexibility, but is still subject to other rules of prescription drug promotion. Refer to the Communications with Payors Guidance for details.

### 5.2 Reference to Data Not Included in the Summary of Product Characteristics

The US equivalent to the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) is the FDA-approved label (ie, PI). As previously mentioned, promotional communications for prescription drugs must include only information about the drug that is either within the drug’s FDA-approved label (on-label) or consistent with the label (CFL). The CFL Guidance explains a three-factor test to determine whether product-related information is CFL. If a product communication fails any of

the three factors below, it is not considered CFL and risks being off-label.

- How does the information in the communication compare to the information in the FDA-approved label – does it suggest a different indication, patient population, limitations and directions for use/handling, and/or dosing or usage regimen?
- Does the information suggest use of the drug in a manner that could increase the potential for harm to health relative to the information reflected in the drug’s FDA-approved label?
- Do the directions for use in the FDA-approved label enable the product to be safely and effectively used under the conditions suggested in the communication?

In order to be distributed as CFL, the information must be:

- substantiated by “scientifically appropriate and statistically sound” (SASS) evidence;
- factually accurate;
- presented with appropriate context, including disclosure of any limitations of the data, analyses and conclusions; and
- otherwise truthful and not misleading.

Examples of information that may be considered CFL include:

- comparisons;
- adverse reactions;
- onset of action;
- long-term safety or efficacy;
- patient subgroups;
- patient compliance or adherence; and
- patient perceptions, convenience and mechanism of action.

Refer to the CFL Guidance for details.

### 5.3 Advertising of Combination Products

The FDA does not have specific rules for the advertising of drugs with companion products. As noted in **5.2 Reference to Data Not Included in the Summary of Product Characteristics**, all promotional communications should be on-label or CFL. If the FDA-approved labelling of a combination product does not include details of each of the individual products in the combination, the company should evaluate the information under the CFL Guidance and consider potential off-label risks.

### 5.4 Restrictions on Reprints of Journal Articles for Healthcare Professionals

If a reprint is on-label or CFL, it may be used in a promotional manner, subject to the basic requirements for advertising and promotion directed at HCPs. If a reprint discusses an unapproved use of the product (ie, off-label), then it might be distributed under the FDA's established safe harbour for off-label reprints; see **3.3 Provision of Information to Healthcare Professionals**.

### 5.5 Medical Science Liaisons

The primary responsibility of a Medical Science Liaison (MSL) is scientific engagement and education with HCPs, focusing on specific therapeutic areas, disease states and/or products in support of their company's product pipeline and portfolio. MSLs are also used to help support scientific initiatives, such as identifying and recruiting potential sites and investigators for company-sponsored studies, scientific and medical advisory boards, and internal training and education, among others.

In general, an MSL may engage HCPs proactively or reactively consistent with the FDA's policy on off-label communications, but their interactions should not be promotional; see **3.3 Provision of Information to Healthcare Professionals**

and **3.4 Provision of Information to Healthcare Institutions**. Specifically, MSLs may proactively discuss with HCPs therapeutic areas and disease states generally, as well as approved uses of approved products. Proactive discussions of investigational drugs or unapproved uses of approved drugs are generally not regarded as permissible activities for MSLs, as these proactive communications could be perceived as pre-approval or off-label promotion.

A significant role of MSLs is reactive interactions with HCPs, in which an MSL responds to unsolicited requests for scientific or medical information; see **3.3 Provision of Information to Healthcare Professionals**.

Importantly, the role and responsibilities of an MSL are scientific and medical in nature, and not commercial or promotional. Because the separation of functions is critical to preserving the legitimacy of MSL scientific exchange activities, MSLs and Medical Affairs should remain independent of commercial influence, including reporting/supervisory structures.

## 6. Vetting Requirements and Internal Verification Compliance

### 6.1 Requirements for Prior Notification/Authorisation

In general, there is no requirement for prior notification or authorisation for prescription drug advertising and promotion; however, there are limited exceptions:

- companies whose advertisements have violated FDA or FTC standards in the past may be asked to pre-clear their advertisements in the future;

- prescription drugs approved under the accelerated approval process are subject to a “presubmission” requirement (ie, promotional materials must be submitted to the FDA prior to the intended date of dissemination or publication); and
- DTC television advertisements must be submitted for pre-dissemination review (Refer to the FDA’s 2012 draft guidance, “Direct-to-Consumer Television Advertisements – FDAAA DTC Television Ad Pre-Dissemination Review Program”, for details).

Companies always have the option to voluntarily submit proposed promotional labelling or advertising to the FDA for advisory review.

## 2253 Submission

The FDA’s post-marketing reporting regulations require pharmaceutical companies to submit prescription drug promotional labelling and advertising materials to OPDP at the time of first use. This submission must be made using a completed Form FDA 2253 and must include a copy of the promotional material and the product’s current PI.

## 6.2 Compliance With Rules on Medicinal Advertising

FDA regulations governing current Good Manufacturing Practices (CGMPs) require strict controls over labelling issued for use in drug product labelling operations. Although this regulation is typically applied to FDA-approved labelling (ie, PI), it should also be used for the development of promotional labelling.

It is best practice to adopt internal policies and standard operating procedures for managing the review, approval and use of promotional labelling and advertising. Typically, this is a cross-functional activity that includes company rep-

resentatives from legal, regulatory, medical and compliance departments.

## 7. Advertising of Medicinal Products on the Internet

### 7.1 Regulation of Advertising of Medicinal Products on the Internet

In general, the FDA’s standard advertising and promotion rules apply to advertising and promotion on the internet. The FDA expects prescription drug websites to:

- include risk information on the same screen as efficacy information;
- provide a prominent link to the PI;
- distinguish sites intended for US audiences and international audiences;
- ensure that all claims, images and graphics are CFL; and
- avoid links to off-label information.

Separately, the FTC has published several guides governing disclosures on the internet and social media, including “.com Disclosures: How to Make Effective Disclosures in Digital Advertising” (2013) and “Disclosures 101 for Social Media Influencers” (2019).

### 7.2 Restrictions on Access to Websites Containing Advertising Intended for Healthcare Professionals

There is no requirement to limit access on pharmaceutical promotional websites intended for HCPs. However, it is common industry practice to include an interstitial page (eg, pop-up notice) for users to confirm they are a US HCP before accessing the page.

## 7.3 Provision of Disease Awareness Information to Patients Online

It is common practice in the US for pharmaceutical companies to develop disease awareness websites, social media pages, or online advertising directed to consumers. In general, the same rules that apply to traditional forms of disease awareness communications apply to online disease awareness content; see **2.2 Information or Advertising: Disease Awareness Campaigns and Other Patient-Facing Information**.

## 7.4 Online Scientific Meetings

The same rules apply to promotion and advertising in online scientific meetings or congresses as in in-person settings. For virtual events, promotional materials should be reviewed according to traditional FDA advertising and promotion rules, but with the digital format and functionality in mind. In addition, given that geographic limitations are inherently more fluid in a virtual setting, companies should consider including clear disclosures regarding the intended audience, particularly if the product approval status or indication differs outside the US.

As with traditional in-person conferences, the AKS (see **8.1 General Anti-bribery Rules Applicable to Interactions between Pharmaceutical Companies and Healthcare Professionals**) and PhRMA Code apply to the provision of items of value (eg, items for attendees) or other hospitality associated with a virtual scientific meeting or congress; see **9. Gifts, Hospitality, Congresses and Related Payments**.

## 7.5 Use of Social Media

The FDA permits advertising and promotion of prescription drugs on social media. Generally, the FDA's standard advertising and promotion rules apply, regardless of the social media platform being used.

The FDA has also issued guidance documents relevant to the use of social media for prescription drug promotion.

- “Fulfilling Regulatory Requirements for Post-marketing Submissions of Interactive Promotional Media” (2014) describes when companies will be held responsible for social media content, including user-generated content (UGC), and how to submit interactive social media content via Form FDA 2253.
- “Internet/Social Media Platforms with Character Space Limitations – Presenting Risk and Benefit Information for Prescription Drugs and Medical Devices” (2014) explains that the FDA's long-standing rules regarding disclosure of risk information apply even in the context of character-limited communications (eg, Twitter, sponsored links).
- “Internet/Social Media Platforms: Correcting Independent Third-Party Misinformation about Prescription Drugs and Medical Devices” (2014) describes how companies can address incorrect information posted about their products on social media or the internet by third parties unaffiliated with the company.

Various FDA guidance documents explain that a company is responsible for promotional content and communications that are:

- on sites that are owned, controlled, created, influenced or operated by, or on behalf of, the company;
- on a third-party site if the company has any control or influence over the third-party site; and/or
- generated by an employee or agent who is acting on behalf of the company to promote the company's product.

Notably, a 2014 warning letter to Zarbee's illustrates the potential for companies to be held responsible for independent UGC (eg, social media comments) if they endorse those statements by "liking", "sharing", or positively commenting on them.

## 8. Pharmaceutical Advertising: Inducement/Anti-bribery

### 8.1 General Anti-bribery Rules Applicable to Interactions Between Pharmaceutical Companies and Healthcare Professionals The Anti-Kickback Statute

The Anti-Kickback Statute (AKS) (42 USC 1320a-7b) prohibits individuals and entities from knowingly and wilfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying any remuneration (directly or indirectly, overtly or covertly, in cash or in kind) in order to induce the provision of a good or service that is reimbursable under a federal healthcare programme, including Medicare and Medicaid.

The scope of the AKS is broad and applies to any individual or entity (including manufacturers, healthcare providers and organisations, and lay persons) that provides, offers, solicits or receives remuneration with improper intent. The courts have broadly interpreted the AKS to cover any arrangement where even one purpose of remuneration, though not its sole or primary purpose, is to provide value for the referral, purchase, use or recommendation of goods or services reimbursed by Medicare or Medicaid.

"Remuneration" includes anything of value and there is no de minimis exception. Remuneration includes gifts, payments and other things typically thought of as benefits, but also broadly includes price reductions (such as discounts or

rebates) and free or below-cost products and services.

### Safe Harbour Regulations

The OIG has promulgated final "safe harbour" regulations specifying certain types of arrangements/remuneration that will not be considered to contravene the AKS. The safe harbours include, among others, protection for certain discounts/rebates, warranties, employment and services arrangements. If an arrangement satisfies all the criteria of a safe harbour, it will be immune from criminal prosecution and civil exclusion under the AKS. Failure to satisfy any safe harbour does not necessarily mean that the arrangement violates the AKS; however, arrangements falling outside a safe harbour present a legal risk and may be more likely to be scrutinised as violations of the kickback prohibition. There are both criminal and civil penalties for violating the AKS.

### State Statutes

Various states have also enacted similar anti-kickback statutes that apply to inducements related to healthcare items and services (including drugs) reimbursed by private insurance, not just those reimbursed by a federal or state healthcare programme. Requirements under state law must be reviewed on a state-by-state basis.

### Civil Monetary Penalties

Similar to the AKS, the Civil Monetary Penalties (CMP) provisions of the Social Security Act (42 USC 1320a-7a) prohibit the offering or provision of inducements to federal healthcare programme beneficiaries and impose monetary penalties on entities that offer or transfer remuneration to such a beneficiary, when they know or should know it is likely to influence the beneficiary's selection of a particular provider, practitioner or



supplier of items or services paid for by certain government programmes.

### Distinctions Between the AKS and CMP

A few distinctions between the AKS and the CMP are notable. Firstly, the CMP law prohibits inducements only to Medicare and state health-care programme beneficiaries (Medicaid), not to all federal health-care programme beneficiaries. Secondly, the CMP law may have indirect application (ie, the law is triggered if the person providing the remuneration knows or should know that it is likely to induce the beneficiary to order the item or service from a particular provider, practitioner or supplier). Thus, a pharmaceutical manufacturer, which is not a provider, practitioner or supplier, could implicate the statute if it offered or gave remuneration to a beneficiary that it believed would be likely to induce the beneficiary to order an item or service from a particular provider, practitioner or supplier (eg, to choose a particular physician or pharmacy).

## 8.2 Legislative or Self-Regulatory Provisions

Because the penalties for violating the AKS and related civil statutes can be severe (including potentially leading to incarceration and/or exclusion from participation in federal health-care programmes), there is a strong benefit to self-regulation.

Firstly, the OIG issued compliance guidance for pharmaceutical manufacturers – in part, to provide notice about activities that are likely to violate the AKS or CMP law. Companies self-regulate by developing internal policies and procedures that establish compliant practices and require auditing and monitoring of activities to ensure compliance.

Secondly, the PhRMA Code sets forth voluntary guidelines for companies to stake out industry positions on common activities that should not be deemed to violate the AKS or CMP law.

Finally, companies can adopt self-reporting protocols, consistent with guidelines from the OIG and the US Department of Justice, to self-report internally identified wrongdoing. Addressing potential fraud and corruption via internal policy and procedure, or by self-reporting to US authorities, can significantly help to mitigate potential allegations and/or penalties in the event of wrongdoing.

## 9. Gifts, Hospitality, Congresses and Related Payments

### 9.1 Gifts to Healthcare Professionals Under the PhRMA Code

The PhRMA Code expressly prohibits gifts that are intended for the personal benefit of HCPs, including practice-related items of de minimis value (eg, pens, pads, mugs, etc). Under the PhRMA Code, only items that “advance disease or treatment education” for patients may be furnished without charge to HCPs.

However, the PhRMA Code allows manufacturers to pay for or reimburse meals or travel expenses for HCPs in limited situations. Modest meals are generally permissible under the PhRMA Code only when they are provided in conjunction with:

- an “informational presentation or discussion conducted by company representatives or their immediate managers working in field sales” in the HCP’s office;
- an HCP’s travel or meetings for consulting, training or speaking services on behalf of the

- manufacturer pursuant to a written agreement; or
- an HCP's attendance at a speaking or training event of the manufacturer.

In these situations, meals should be:

- modest;
- occasional;
- without attendance of spouses or guests;
- in a location that is conducive to educational or business content;
- subordinate in time and focus to the presentation, service or training at issue; and
- eaten on the premises (ie, no takeaway or two-hour meals for a 30-minute presentation).

The PhRMA Code also prohibits companies from providing or paying for alcohol at meetings or presentations with HCPs.

Similarly, covering or paying for “reasonable” travel expenses is generally permissible under the PhRMA Code when made for an HCP's travel for meetings or services involving consulting, training or speaking services on behalf of the manufacturer pursuant to a written agreement. Travel expenses should not be covered for personal expenses or for individuals travelling with the HCP.

### **Under the AKS and Similar State Laws**

Under the AKS and similar state laws, there are no express protections for remuneration in the form of gifts, free samples, grants or donations to support scientific meetings, research, or cultural, sporting or other non-scientific events, or free or below-cost products or services, even when the value may be de minimis. Because many of these are common forms of business within the pharmaceutical industry, the PhRMA Code provides some level of protection for certain

common arrangements in addition to specific regulatory safe harbour protections. Although it has been generally accepted by federal enforcement agencies, the PhRMA Code is not law or regulation. Thus, activities expressly condoned by the PhRMA Code, while not immune from prosecution, are less likely to be pursued by federal authorities, while activities prohibited by the PhRMA Code pose significant risks under the AKS.

### **9.2 Limitations on Providing Samples to Healthcare Professionals**

The Prescription Drug Marketing Act (PDMA) permits a manufacturer to provide drug samples directly to a licensed healthcare practitioner or institution that:

- requests the samples;
- signs for or formally acknowledges receipt of the samples;
- agrees to legally prescribe and dispense the samples; and
- does not resell the samples or bill patients or health insurance for them.

The purpose of facilitating samples should generally be to ensure that patients and HCPs can reasonably evaluate whether a particular drug is appropriate for a particular patient. Samples should not be used as gifts or improper inducements for HCPs to prescribe a particular product, as such uses could violate the AKS.

### **9.3 Sponsorship of Scientific Meetings**

Pursuant to the PhRMA Code, a manufacturer may provide financial support to third parties hosting scientific or educational conferences or meetings, including those for continuing medical education (CME). The PhRMA Code specifically provides that “a company should develop objective criteria for making CME grant (or support)

decisions to ensure that the programme funded by the company is a bona fide educational programme and that the financial support is not an inducement to prescribe or recommend a particular medicine or course of treatment”, such as by covering the cost of attendance for specific HCPs.

#### 9.4 Sponsorship of Cultural, Sports or Other Non-scientific Events

The PhRMA Code expressly prohibits the support of HCP participation in cultural, sports or other non-scientific events.

#### 9.5 Grants or Donations to Healthcare Professionals or Healthcare Institutions

Grants or donations to HCPs or institutions, whether monetary or in-kind, generally fall within the broad definition of “remuneration” under the AKS. While it is not the policy of federal or state agencies to prosecute bona fide charitable donations and altruistic grants, these arrangements can raise serious issues under the AKS if any purpose of the funding is related to generating business from the recipient or individuals involved with the recipient. Because there are no protections for grants or donations under the statutory exceptions or regulatory safe harbours of the AKS, manufacturers should be mindful of the following.

- A grant or donation should be made only to charitable or non-profit organisations that would use the funding in accordance with their charitable/non-profit mission.
- No purpose of the grant or donation should be to influence clinical or purchasing decision-making or to otherwise generate business for the manufacturer – some manufacturers demonstrate this by, inter alia:
  - (a) funding grants and donations from non-sales and marketing budgets;

- (b) establishing and using a grants committee comprised of only non-commercial personnel;
- (c) carefully documenting each grant and donation, including its intended purpose; and
- (d) ensuring that there is no “return on investment” analysis with respect to grants or donations.

#### 9.6 Restrictions on Rebates or Discounts to Healthcare Professionals or Healthcare Institutions

Discounts and rebates to HCPs and institutions are protected from violating the AKS if they meet all the requirements of a statutory exception (42 USC 1320a-7b(b)(3)(A)) or regulatory safe harbour (42 CFR 1001.952(h)). In general, to be protected, a discount or rebate must:

- be a reduction in the amount a purchaser is charged for an item or service based on an arm’s-length transaction;
- be disclosed to the purchaser in advance of any purchase being made and not paid prior to the purchase being made (ie, no upfront rebates or “pre-bates”);
- not be paid in cash or cash equivalents (except for rebates paid by cheque);
- not be for the purpose of inducing the purchase of a different good or service, unless both items/services are reimbursed by the same federal healthcare programme using the same payment methodology, and the discount is fully disclosed to federal programmes;
- not be in exchange or payment for services;
- not result in the sale being made at a (net) price that is below the manufacturer’s cost for manufacturing, marketing and distributing the product(s); and

- be structured to provide the price reduction to the buyer within a year of the purchase of the product to which it relates.

In addition, the manufacturer must clearly inform the buyer of its obligations under the safe harbour to report the discount to federal agencies, as required, and must refrain from doing anything to impede the buyer from meeting its reporting obligations.

### **9.7 Payment for Services Provided by Healthcare Professionals**

In order to receive AKS protection under the personal services and management contracts safe harbour (42 CFR 1001.952(d)), compensation for a services arrangement must meet all of the specific regulatory requirements, including:

- having a written agreement that expressly defines the services to be provided for a term of at least one year;
- that the contracted services are commercially reasonable in the absence of other business or referrals generated between the parties;
- that the methodology for determining the compensation to be paid over the term of the agreement is set in advance, consistent with fair market value and not determined in a manner that takes into account the volume or value of any referrals or business otherwise generated between the parties; and
- that the services must not involve any other violation of law.

The PhRMA Code provides additional guidance to help protect arrangements that cannot meet safe harbour protections, including factors that support the “existence of a bona fide consulting arrangement”.

### **9.8 Prior Authorisations or Notifications for Activities Between Pharmaceutical Companies, Healthcare Professionals and Healthcare Organisations**

The provision of products or services without charge by a manufacturer to an HCP may result in in-kind “remuneration” that implicates the broad scope of the AKS. In analysing whether or not services may constitute remuneration, a manufacturer should consider whether the services intended purely for the reasonable and expected support of the manufacturer’s product for a patient might instead be intended to take the place of internal services or efforts that the HCP would ordinarily be expected to provide at their own cost and expense. The former types of arrangements arguably would not result in remuneration under the AKS, while the latter may implicate the broad scope of the statute.

## **10. Pharmaceutical Companies: Transparency**

### **10.1 Requirement for Pharmaceutical Companies to Disclose Details of Transfers of Value**

The federal Physician Payments Sunshine Act (the “Sunshine Act”) and its implementing regulations require certain pharmaceutical and biologic manufacturers to annually report to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) certain information about payments or transfers of value provided directly or indirectly to covered recipients during the previous calendar year. “Covered recipients” under the Sunshine Act and its implementing regulations include US physicians and teaching hospitals, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, clinical nurse specialists, certified registered nurse anaesthetists and certified nurse midwives.

In addition to the federal reporting requirements, several states, including Connecticut, the District of Columbia, Massachusetts, Minnesota and Vermont, also require manufacturers to track and annually report certain information about payments or transfers of value provided to HCPs and healthcare organisations in the respective state. The specific transparency requirements vary from state to state. There are also several jurisdictions that require pharmaceutical representatives to be licensed/listed with local agencies, including Chicago, the District of Columbia, Nevada and Oregon. Many of these local requirements include transparency obligations for licensed/listed representatives, who are required to track and annually report certain information about their communications and interactions with HCPs.

## 10.2 Foreign Companies and Companies That Do Not Yet Have Products on the Market

The Sunshine Act requirements apply to foreign companies if the entity “operates in the United States” and meets the definition of an “applicable manufacturer”. Determination of how transparency laws apply to entities based outside the US should be conducted on a case-by-case basis considering the entity and any subsidiaries. Some state laws mirror the Sunshine Act requirements, while other state laws are less clear but generally apply to manufacturers providing transfers of value to HCPs licensed by the state.

As a general matter, the Sunshine Act and state transparency laws do not apply to companies that do not yet have marketed products.

## 11. Pharmaceutical Advertising: Enforcement

### 11.1 Pharmaceutical Advertising: Enforcement Bodies

See 1.1 Laws and Self-Regulatory Codes Regulating Advertising on Medicines for information on regulatory and enforcement bodies for pharmaceutical advertising and promotion.

Both the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the OIG have authority to enforce the AKS, the CMP law, and the False Claims Act. The DOJ has jurisdiction over both criminal and civil enforcement actions, while the OIG has authority with respect to civil actions. The False Claims Act includes a whistle-blower provision allowing private citizens to bring claims on behalf of the US and to share in the government’s recoveries resulting from such claims.

State attorneys general may take enforcement actions under similar state laws.

### 11.2 Initiating Proceedings for Pharmaceutical Advertising Infringements

In most instances, FDA enforcement against unlawful promotion and advertising begins with an enforcement letter issued by the OPDP of the FDA. Repeat or egregious violations may prompt the FDA and FTC to initiate enforcement proceedings in federal court to enjoin the behaviour and seek penalties.

Competitors and consumers may also challenge unlawful promotion and advertising. The FDCA and FTCA do not provide a right of action to competitors or consumers; however, the submission of trade complaints to the FDA and/or FTC may prompt the agencies to act. HCPs, consumers and competitors can also notify

the FDA of unlawful pharmaceutical marketing through the FDA's "Bad Ad Program". In addition, competitors and/or consumers may seek to challenge advertising directly through state and/or other federal laws.

Companies may also challenge competitors' "false and misleading" advertising in court under the Lanham Act and before the self-regulatory body of the NAD of the Better Business Bureau (BBB), which is a voluntary process and not enforceable under law.

### **11.3 Penalties for Violating Pharmaceutical Advertising Rules and Rules on Inducements to Prescribe FDA and FTC Enforcement**

Penalties for unlawful pharmaceutical marketing and advertising vary depending on the statute used to challenge the activity. If the FDA or FTC pursue enforcement in federal courts, injunctions are common penalties; the FDA may also seize products. In more extreme cases, the FDA may co-ordinate with the DOJ to bring criminal charges. Misdemeanour convictions of "misbranding" a drug can result in a fine of USD1,000 and a year in prison. A felony conviction could result in a USD10,000 fine and three years in prison.

In a typical challenge under the Lanham Act, the court may award injunctive and/or monetary remedies, based on lost profits or loss of goodwill due to false advertising, or to reimburse the costs of corrective advertising. In extraordinary cases and in some jurisdictions, courts may also consider granting a preliminary injunction, disgorgement of profits, treble damages, and/or an award of attorney's fees.

#### **AKS**

Under the AKS, criminal sanctions include a fine not exceeding USD250,000 or imprisonment for

up to five years, or both, for each offence. In addition, monetary penalties for each offence may be increased to USD500,000 for organisations. Civil penalties include fines of up to USD50,000 for each violation, and monetary damages of up to three times the amount paid for referrals and/or exclusion from the Medicare programme. Furthermore, any claims submitted to Medicare or Medicaid as a result of an illegal kickback now automatically constitute false or fraudulent claims under the federal False Claims Act.

#### **The False Claims Act**

Penalties for violating the False Claims Act can be civil and/or criminal, with statutory civil penalties between USD5,000 and USD10,000 (which can be increased to up to USD23,607) per false claim and triple the amount of the damage to the government. For criminal violations, the False Claims Act can be enforced with imprisonment and/or criminal fines.

### **11.4 Relationship Between Regulatory Authorities and Courts**

Regulatory authorities such as the FDA and FTC may pursue enforcement against unlawful advertising and promotion in federal court, while state enforcement occurs in a state court. Self-regulation through the NAD is a voluntary process. Although NAD decisions are not binding in court, some cases may be referred to the FTC for potential enforcement.

### **11.5 Recent Enforcement Trends in Relation to Pharmaceutical Advertising**

Based on OPDP enforcement letters issued over the past few years, the FDA is focused on a range of digital and broadcast advertising and promotional activities, including DTC television advertisements, consumer videos, websites, emails, sponsored links and social media. Con-

sistent with past enforcement letters, the most cited violation continues to be false or misleading presentation of risk information; however, there is also a strong focus on false or misleading efficacy claims. Products with boxed warnings in their labelling are a frequent target of OPDP letters.

For both the FDA and FTC, marketing by physicians, celebrity spokespeople and influencers is a key focus area for both prescription and OTC drugs. Recent enforcement related to influencer and spokesperson marketing has cited omission or minimisation of risk information, overstatement of efficacy, and lack of adequate disclosure of the relationship between the influencer and the sponsoring company.

Many of the FDA's guidance documents for advertising and promotion, as well as scientific exchange, also apply to prescription animal drugs. The FDA's post-marketing reporting regulations require animal drug companies to submit prescription drug promotional labelling and advertising materials at the time of first use, which is made using Form FDA 2301. The CVM provides written advisory comments as part of optional pre-dissemination reviews for proposed promotional materials, reviews complaints about alleged violations, and issues untitled or warning letters citing false or misleading promotional materials. The most common violation for prescription animal drugs is omission or minimisation of risk information, followed by misleading efficacy claims.

## 12. Veterinary Medicines

### 12.1 Advertising Veterinary Medicines

The FDA's Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) is responsible for regulating the promotion and advertising of approved prescription animal drug products under the FDCA and related regulations. Like human drugs, the advertising and promotion of prescription animal drugs must be:

- on-label or consistent with label;
- adequately substantiated;
- present a fair balance between product benefits and risks; and
- otherwise truthful and not misleading.

**King & Spalding LLP** has more than 1,200 lawyers in its 22 global offices and helps companies advance business interests in more than 160 countries. The firm's FDA and life sciences practice plays a critical role within this context. With over 40 lawyers and professionals in the US and Europe, the group counsels more than 250 large, mid-cap and start-up drug, biotech and medical device companies, food manufacturers, distributors, healthcare providers and technology ventures. The EU team focuses on EU and national (French, Belgian and German)

issues associated with the legal requirements for pharmaceuticals/biologics, medical devices, cosmetics and foods. The firm's clients receive tremendous synergy from the interaction of the FDA/regulatory and healthcare teams with the product liability, government investigations, discovery, appellate, intellectual property, corporate and litigation teams. More than 400 lawyers and professionals in 17 areas devote all or a substantial portion of their practices to the life sciences industry.

## Authors



**Seth H Lundy** serves as deputy chair and a partner in King & Spalding LLP's FDA and life sciences practice. Seth is widely recognised as a leading national authority on compliance with

federal and state fraud and abuse laws, and represents prominent pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers in complex legal and regulatory matters and investigations. A frequent author and speaker on compliance within the biopharma industry, Seth works to help clients identify and predict burgeoning areas of enforcement and compliance. He also develops business and marketing strategies that respond to ever-changing federal regulatory schemes.



**Nikki Reeves** is an FDA and healthcare compliance lawyer with over 20 years of experience. Nikki co-chairs King & Spalding LLP's life sciences and healthcare industry group

and is a partner in the FDA and life sciences practice group. She advises pharmaceutical and medical device companies on pre- and post-market FDA regulatory compliance and enforcement matters, ranging from clinical trials to labelling, advertising and promotion of FDA-regulated products. She is a thought leader on federal Sunshine, state and international transparency/disclosure laws and leads two industry compliance coalitions. Nikki currently serves on the advisory board for FDAnews.



Contributed by: Seth H Lundy, Nikki Reeves, Heather Bañuelos and Gillian Russell, **King & Spalding LLP**



**Heather Bañuelos** is counsel in King & Spalding LLP's FDA and life sciences practice group.

Heather's practice focuses on regulatory strategies for the labelling, advertising and

promotion of FDA-regulated products. She regularly serves on promotional, medical and scientific review committees for pharmaceutical companies. She is a frequent author and speaker on advertising and promotion topics. Heather's experience in FDA law spans over 20 years, including positions as associate chief counsel in the FDA's Office of the Chief Counsel and as senior in-house regulatory counsel for pharmaceutical companies.



**Gillian Russell** is counsel in King & Spalding LLP's FDA and life sciences practice and advises

pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device companies on a variety of FDA regulatory, compliance and enforcement matters.

Gillian has significant experience counselling clients on new product launches, physician and direct-to-consumer advertising, and social media. She regularly acts as primary legal counsel on companies' promotional review committees, advising on promotional and non-promotional communications and activities. Gillian is a frequent author and speaker on advertising and promotion topics, and is a member of the planning committee for the 2022 FDLI Advertising and Promotion Conference.

---

### King & Spalding LLP

1700 Pennsylvania Avenue – NW  
Suite 900  
Washington  
DC 20006  
USA

Tel: +1 202 737 0500  
Fax: +1 202 626 3737  
Email: [kslaw@kslaw.com](mailto:kslaw@kslaw.com)  
Web: [www.kslaw.com](http://www.kslaw.com)



---

## CHAMBERS GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDES

---

Chambers Global Practice Guides bring you up-to-date, expert legal commentary on the main practice areas from around the globe. Focusing on the practical legal issues affecting businesses, the guides enable readers to compare legislation and procedure and read trend forecasts from legal experts from across key jurisdictions.

To find out more information about how we select contributors, email [Katie.Burrington@chambers.com](mailto:Katie.Burrington@chambers.com)