

PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 6

NUMBER 11

November 2020

Editor's Note: False Claims Act and More! Victoria Prussen Spears	369
False Claims Act Enforcement During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond George B. Breen and Alexis Boaz	371
Continued Uncertainty Clouds DOJ's Dismissal Power Under False Claims Act Pablo J. Davis	381
Executive Order Regarding Domestic Production and Purchase of Essential Medicines: A Lot to Unpack and More Than Meets the Eye Merle M. DeLancey Jr. and John M. Clerici	388
Dear Magic 8-Ball—Should I Protest? Critical Protest Implications Following the Federal Circuit's Expansion of <i>Blue & Gold's</i> Waiver Rule in <i>Inverso</i> Ethan M. Brown	393
Government Reliance on Waiver Argument to Keep Price Adjustment Windfall Fails Scott Arnold	398
The Artemis Accords Seek to Propel a New Industry William T. Gordon, Vivasvat Dadwal, and Carson W. Bennett	401

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Heidi A. Litman at 516-771-2169
Email: heidi.a.litman@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

ISSN: 2688-7290

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT’S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt).

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT’S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. Originally published in: 2015

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

MARY BETH BOSCO

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

MERLE M. DELANCEY JR.

Partner, Blank Rome LLP

DARWIN A. HINDMAN III

Shareholder, Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

J. ANDREW HOWARD

Partner, Alston & Bird LLP

KYLE R. JEFCOAT

Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP

JOHN E. JENSEN

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

DISMAS LOCARIA

Partner, Venable LLP

MARCIA G. MADSEN

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

KEVIN P. MULLEN

Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP

VINCENT J. NAPOLEON

Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP

STUART W. TURNER

Counsel, Arnold & Porter

ERIC WHYTSELL

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

WALTER A.I. WILSON

Partner Of Counsel, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report is published 12 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

The Artemis Accords Seek to Propel a New Industry

By William T. Gordon, Vivasvat Dadwal, and Carson W. Bennett*

*The U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration revealed the blueprint for the Artemis Accords, which are to form the basis of NASA's international partnerships with other international space agencies. The authors of this article provide five takeaways for private actors operating in this emerging industry.***

The U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (“NASA”) revealed the blueprint for the Artemis Accords (“Accords”),¹ which are to form the basis of NASA’s international partnerships with other international space agencies. Although the specific language of the Accords is not yet public, the Accords are envisioned as a set of “bilateral Artemis Accords agreements” that will promote international cooperation by establishing a “common set of principles to govern the civil exploration and use of outer space,” including the principle that “space resource extraction and utilization can and will be conducted under the auspices of the Outer Space Treaty.” This effort comes at the heels of President Donald J. Trump’s April 6, 2020 Executive Order seeking to “encourage international support for the public and private recovery and use of resources in outer space, consistent with applicable law” (“Executive Order”).²

Other countries have previously pursued non-binding memorandums of understanding (“MOU”), including for the exploration and use of space resources. For example, in 2017, Luxembourg and the United Arab Emirates

* William (Bill) T. Gordon is a partner at King & Spalding LLP advising boards and management teams through critical transformation initiatives, including, internal controls remediation, succession planning, M&A diligence, and major infrastructure and/or cultural changes. Vivasvat (Viva) Dadwal and Carson W. Bennett are associates in the firm’s International Arbitration practice. The authors may be contacted at bgordon@kslaw.com, vdadwal@kslaw.com, and cbennett@kslaw.com, respectively.

** On October 13, 2020, it was revealed that the Artemis Accords were signed by the heads of space agencies for eight states, namely Australia, Canada, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, and the United States. As this article was submitted for publication before that date, it does not analyze the now available text of the Accords, *available at* <https://www.nasa.gov/specials/artemis-accords/img/Artemis-Accords-signed-13Oct2020.pdf>.

¹ <https://www.nasa.gov/specials/artemis-accords/index.html>.

² <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-encouraging-international-support-recovery-use-space-resources/>.

signed a MOU³ focusing on the exploration and utilization of space resources. Like the U.S., which passed a similar law in 2015,⁴ Luxembourg established a domestic legal and regulatory framework for space exploration and use of space resources in 2017,⁵ and the United Arab Emirates reportedly followed suit earlier this year.⁶ Space-faring nations like China and Russia are reportedly⁷ negotiating similar cooperation agreements.

FIVE TAKEAWAYS

As NASA pursues the bilateral Accords with other interested space agencies, what are five takeaways for private actors operating in this emerging industry?

1) A CHANCE TO SHAPE SPECIFICS UNDER THE ACCORDS

Since NASA plans on partnering with space agencies on an individual, bilateral basis, the framework is silent as to how they would apply directly to private companies. However, this does not mean private companies cannot play a role in developing the content of the Accords, the principles of which may indirectly apply to the private sector through government contracts of signatory countries.

The U.S. government frequently engages with Congress, stakeholders, and the public when negotiating trade and investment policy and a key question for the Accords will be how best to incentivize the private sector to explore and develop minerals in outer space. One way NASA already seeks industry feedback is through its National Space Council Users Advisory Group⁸ and its various “federal advisory committees”⁹ which include leading industry representatives.

As will be discussed below, the United States will be negotiating these agreements over the next several months. Interested parties will have to act quickly if they hope to be involved in the development of the text of these bilateral agreements.

³ <https://space-agency.public.lu/dam-assets/press-release/2017/2017-10-10-press-release-mou-space.pdf>.

⁴ <https://www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/house-bill/2262/text>.

⁵ https://space-agency.public.lu/en/agency/legal-framework/law_space_resources_english_translation.html.

⁶ <https://www.thenational.ae/uae/science/uae-s-national-space-law-comes-into-effect-1.983817>.

⁷ [https://www.reuters.com/article/us-luxembourg-russia-space/russia-wants-to-join-luxembourg-in-space-mining-idUSKCN1QN1OQ#:~:text=The%20small%20Duchy%20of%20Luxembourg,\(mining\)%20exploration%20in%20space](https://www.reuters.com/article/us-luxembourg-russia-space/russia-wants-to-join-luxembourg-in-space-mining-idUSKCN1QN1OQ#:~:text=The%20small%20Duchy%20of%20Luxembourg,(mining)%20exploration%20in%20space).

⁸ <https://www.nasa.gov/content/national-space-council-users-advisory-group>.

⁹ <https://www.nasa.gov/oiir/advisory-committee>.

2) SECURING REGULATORY APPROVAL FOR MOON MISSIONS IS STILL LARGELY UNCHARTED TERRITORY

Any launch to extract outer space materials will require a license from the U.S. government (or other relevant government overseeing the enterprise). The exact approval process is unclear, but up until now the one private company that has received a license to land on the moon acquired it by applying to the Federal Aviation Administration¹⁰ (“FAA”) and its Office of Commercial Space Transportation.¹¹ The application process also involved input from NASA and the U.S. State Department¹² before the FAA finally granted the launch license.¹³

Importantly, a launch licensure is different from traditional mining rights on Earth. Unlike traditional mineral extraction where the sovereign government owns the natural resources in question, a nation state has no sovereignty over celestial bodies. Thus, by licensing, the U.S. government is vetting non-government actors pursuant to Article VI of the Outer Space Treaty,¹⁴ under which it has an obligation to perform “authorization and continuing supervision” of commercial mission launches by its citizens/nationals.

3) RECOGNIZE THAT FINANCIAL BACKERS WILL BE WARY

The space industry is inherently risky. Early space mining entrants like Planetary Resources,¹⁵ Moon Express,¹⁶ Asteroid Mining Corp.,¹⁷ and Bradford Space, Inc.¹⁸ (formerly Deep Space Industries) largely failed to gain traction. By 2019, most of these space mining enterprises had stalled or been taken over¹⁹ by other companies that were more interested in acquiring their discreet technologies (like water-based propulsion) rather than maintaining the overall goal of exploiting outer-space resources.

¹⁰ <https://www.faa.gov/>.

¹¹ https://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/ast/.

¹² <https://www.theverge.com/2016/8/3/12361256/moon-express-private-mission-spaceflight-us-government-approved>.

¹³ https://www.faa.gov/news/fact_sheets/news_story.cfm?newsId=20595.

¹⁴ https://www.unoosa.org/pdf/gares/ARES_21_2222E.pdf.

¹⁵ <http://www.moonexpress.com/>.

¹⁶ <http://www.moonexpress.com/>.

¹⁷ <https://asteroidminingcorporation.co.uk/>.

¹⁸ <https://www.bradford-space.com/>.

¹⁹ <https://www.technologyreview.com/2019/06/26/134510/asteroid-mining-bubble-burst-history/>.

At the same time, interest in commercial opportunities of space has increased, especially for space start-ups. Bryce Technology has reported²⁰ that start-up space ventures attracted \$5.7 billion in financing of all types during 2019, breaking the \$3.5 billion record set the previous year. The new Accords provide additional opportunity for increased stability, especially regarding the rapidly evolving legal and regulatory landscape in the U.S. and abroad. It remains to be seen which companies will be first movers in the Artemis Program, and whether they will be able to obtain the financial support of the private sector and governments.²¹

4) HOPE FOR THE BEST, BUT STILL PREPARE FOR DISPUTES

The framework for the Accords focuses on common sets of principles meant to govern space exploration and use of outer space. However, should disputes eventually arise within contracts, licenses and concessions concerning space resource activities, companies operating in this sphere must also consider access to meaningful and binding dispute resolution methods.

One such avenue is arbitration under the 2011 Permanent Court of Arbitration *Optional Rules for Arbitration of Disputes Relating to Outer Space Activities* (“PCA Outer Space Rules”).²² Arbitration has several advantages over domestic courts (e.g., increased confidentiality, technical expertise of decision-makers, party autonomy), and the PCA Outer Space Rules specifically provide for complex disputes that may involve states, international organizations, and private entities. The Annex to the PCA Outer Space Rules provides model clauses that contracting parties may consider inserting in contractual agreements to provide for arbitration of future disputes.

5) MONITOR U.S. EFFORTS

NASA received approval to negotiate the Accords in May 2020. Although U.S. allies, and other countries like Luxembourg and the United Arab Emirates, are expected to join the Artemis Program, to date, no country has publicly acknowledged signing the Accords. On July 10, 2020, NASA and the Government of Japan signed a declaration of intent²³ in support of the Artemis program.

²⁰ https://brycotech.com/reports/report-documents/Bryce_Start_Up_Space_2020.pdf.

²¹ https://www.nasa.gov/directorates/spacetech/NASA_Increases_Investment_in_US_Small_Businesses_to_Mature_Lunar_Capabilities_for_Artemis.

²² <https://docs.pca-cpa.org/2016/01/Permanent-Court-of-Arbitration-Optional-Rules-for-Arbitration-of-Disputes-Relating-to-Outer-Space-Activities.pdf>.

²³ <https://www.nasa.gov/feature/nasa-administrator-signs-declaration-of-intent-with-japan-on-artemis-space-station>.

The U.S. Secretary of State will update the president on activities carried out under the Executive Order—including presumably the Accords—by October 3, 2020 (i.e., 180 days after the date of issuance of the Executive Order). Such a progress report, if made public, will provide a litmus test on the success of the Accords, including whether there exists international support for the principle that space resource extraction and utilization must occur under the auspices of the Outer Space Treaty. The outcome of such efforts will determine what challenges and opportunities this emerging industry faces ahead.

