

Investments in Renewable and Conventional Power Projects in Qualified Opportunity Zones



JUNE 20, 2019

For more information, contact:

Jonathan M.A. Melmed
+1 212 556 2344
jmelmed@kslaw.com

Jonathan Talansky
+1 212 790 5321
jtalansky@kslaw.com

Edouard S. Markson
+1 212 556 2307
emarkson@kslaw.com

Nikolai Karetnyi
+1 212 556 2145
nkaretnyi@kslaw.com

King & Spalding

New York
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Tel: +1 212 556 2100

The Qualified Opportunity Zone rules under Section 1400Z of the Internal Revenue Code permit certain investors to realize substantial tax benefits if they invest capital into federally-designated low-income communities known as “qualified opportunity zones,” or “QOZs,” through entities called “qualified opportunity funds,” or “QOFs.” The QOZ regime provides taxpayers with the ability to defer recognition of capital gains by timely investing such gains in QOFs, which in turn are required to invest in qualifying businesses or projects in QOZs.

A significant majority of QOFs formed to date have focused on real estate development, but we believe that QOFs targeting investments in infrastructure projects including electric generating and storage facilities may present compelling opportunities for developers and investors.

Benefits under the QOZ rules are subject to a variety of timing requirements, including investment deadlines, minimum holding periods, and a fixed end date for deferral. Because of the way these timing requirements interact with each other and with the timing requirements under the renewable energy tax credit regime, which also include deadlines, phase-outs, and sunset provisions, investments must be made by December 31, 2019 to receive maximum benefits under these incentives.

We welcome inquiries from developers, investors, and fund sponsors who are interested in exploring these opportunities. Infrastructure project developers, in particular, may wish to consider using the QOZ rules to make projects more attractive to a wider universe of investors and, in the case of renewable energy projects, potentially reduce their dependence on traditional tax equity players for financing.

Background

The QOZ regime is poised to be one of the most important tax incentives in a generation. It was created under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017



to encourage investment in low-income communities. Thus far, the Treasury and IRS have issued two highly anticipated sets of proposed regulations under these rules.

Generally, persons with eligible capital gains may access these tax benefits by making qualifying investments (within 180 days of the realization of such capital gains) into a QOF. If an investor meets certain holding period requirements with respect to its interest in the QOF, then the investor may also (1) exclude a portion of the deferred gain, and (2) in the case of a minimum 10 year holding period, eliminate capital gains tax on all post-acquisition appreciation in the QOF interest. For an entity to qualify as a QOF, at least 90% of its assets must be qualified opportunity zone property, which may include, along with equity interests in certain qualifying entities, qualified opportunity zone business property (“QOZBP”). QOZBP must be tangible property used in a trade or business of the QOF, where (1) the QOZBP was acquired by the QOF for cash from an unrelated person in a sale or exchange, (2) the QOF is the “original user” or “substantially improves” (which generally means a doubling of initial tax basis in) such property, and (3) substantially all of the use of such property was in a QOZ during substantially all of the QOF’s holding period for such property.

For a business in a QOZ to qualify under these rules, it must derive at least 50% of its income from the active conduct of a trade or business within the QOZ. The most recent set of proposed regulations set forth three safe harbors for purposes of making this determination. These safe harbors, to varying degrees, permit businesses to reach beyond the QOZs themselves so long as the business is primarily conducted from inside the QOZ. Notably, a qualifying business is limited in the “nonqualified financial property” it can hold. Nonqualified financial property generally includes stock, debt, partnership interests, options, futures, forward contracts, notional principal contracts and other similar property but does not include reasonable amounts of working capital.

Electricity Projects & Opportunity Zones

These provisions present an attractive opportunity to developers of power and infrastructure projects, and potentially to tax equity investors in such projects. In a typical renewable project deal, a developer (or sponsor) partners with a tax equity investor in a joint venture holding a project eligible for renewable electricity production tax credits (“PTCs”) or energy investment tax credits (“ITCs”). Both the developer and the tax equity investor contribute capital to the joint venture, with the developer often taking an active role in the day-to-day management of the project. In the early stages of the development of the renewable project, the joint venture’s profits and losses (including the PTCs and ITCs) are allocated predominantly to the tax equity investor, allowing the tax equity investor to use the PTCs and ITCs to offset its other tax liabilities. Once the renewable project becomes operational, the economics of the joint venture are “flipped” and the joint venture’s profits and losses are allocated to the developer. This common structure is mutually beneficial, as the tax equity investor receives the PTCs and ITCs during the limited period in which the credits are available and the developer acquires equity financing necessary to construct and operate the renewable project. Other forms of tax efficient renewable energy investment structures are common as well.

In addition to PTCs and ITCs, the tax code offers significant incentives for investment in renewable energy projects in the form of accelerated depreciation. (These are also available, though to a lesser extent, for conventional infrastructure projects.) Non-corporate investors are generally unable to take full advantage of these incentives because of the “passive activity” rules, which generally prevent investors from using investment losses to shelter other income from tax. As discussed below, however, the QOZ rules may make it possible for individual investors with capital gains to capture some of these benefits that would not otherwise be available to them.



Many enticing industrial or vacant sites for the potential development of renewable projects are located in QOZs. In contrast to the limited number of tax equity investors who deploy capital in renewable projects, there are countless taxpayers sitting on substantial capital gains who can benefit from a QOZ investment and should present developers with an entirely new sources of capital. What's more, these investors may be willing to accept a lower pre-tax economic return, since the potential for a tax-free exit will enhance the after-tax return considerably.

A deal sponsor can structure a project as a purchase of land in a QOZ, funded by a mix of investor equity, both QOZ investors and traditional tax equity. Alternatively, a sponsor may find that QOZ investor demand is high enough that traditional tax equity capital is not needed. Avoiding the complexity of tax equity is a significant potential benefit to renewable energy sponsors. The developer can construct a solar facility, for example, and satisfy the "original use" requirement under the QOZ rules. The solar installation will constitute an active business producing and selling electricity to identified off-takers or into the power grid.

The recent QOZ regulations clarified that, when structured properly, an exit from an opportunity zone investment held for at least ten years will eliminate all gain on the project, *including depreciation recapture*. This is a significant benefit for investors in projects that produce a lot of depreciation during the hold period, as is the case with many energy projects. QOF investors, even if they are subject to the passive activity loss limitations, should be able to use this depreciation to eliminate income tax on some or all of their operating income from the business. The deductions would ordinarily be subject to recapture at ordinary income rates, but can be tax-free after 10 years under the QOZ rules.

QOZ investors can also be utilized in more nuanced ways in energy projects, including replacing the "back leverage" in a partnership flip structure or acting as a "co-GP" with the sponsor. Although the QOZ rules themselves make it challenging for a QOF to be formed to serve as the tax equity investor (or for the tax equity investor to enjoy both benefits concurrently), there are circumstances in which this may be possible for investors who can utilize the tax incentives for renewable energy and, unlike traditional tax equity investors, are willing to remain as long-term equity investors in the projects after those benefits have been exhausted. In any case, the QOZ rules may well give rise to a new financing source for renewable energy projects in situations where investing in a QOF is more advantageous on an after-tax basis than the traditional structure.

It is fair to say that the proverbial clock is ticking for renewables developers to take *full* advantage of the opportunity zone benefits, since December 31, 2019 is the latest date on which an investor can reinvest in a qualified fund and enjoy the maximum tax deferral and tax elimination benefits of the regime. Investments through 2026 will still be entitled to a portion of these benefits and QOFs may be particularly attractive for investors who are otherwise looking to invest in these kinds of assets. While it is true that renewable energy projects tend to depreciate rather than appreciate, thereby rendering less relevant the main tax benefit of these investments (namely, a tax-free exit after a sufficient hold period), investors can still achieve highly valuable deferral, as well as elimination of depreciation recapture as described above.

ABOUT KING & SPALDING

Celebrating more than 130 years of service, King & Spalding is an international law firm that represents a broad array of clients, including half of the Fortune Global 100, with 1,000 lawyers in 20 offices in the United States, Europe, the Middle East and Asia. The firm has handled matters in over 160 countries on six continents and is consistently recognized for the results it obtains, uncompromising commitment to quality, and dedication to understanding the business and culture of its clients.



This document provides a general summary of recent legal developments. It is not intended to be and should not be relied upon as legal advice. In some jurisdictions, this may be considered "Attorney Advertising."

ABU DHABI	CHICAGO	HOUSTON	NEW YORK	SILICON VALLEY
ATLANTA	DUBAI	LONDON	PARIS	SINGAPORE
AUSTIN	FRANKFURT	LOS ANGELES	RIYADH	TOKYO
CHARLOTTE	GENEVA	MOSCOW	SAN FRANCISCO	WASHINGTON, D.C.

About King & Spalding



1,000+

Lawyers Across the World

"They are outstanding. We have a very good relationship with them - they are always able to find experts that we need on highly specialized topics."

Chambers USA 2018

"They were able to educate me rapidly on the ins and outs of the transaction, and its risks and how it would materialise."

Chambers Global 2018

Nationally Ranked as a Leading Energy Regulatory and Renewables practice

Legal 500 2019

Tier 1: Nationally for Energy Law
U.S. News & World Report 2019



55

Practice rankings earned in the 2019 Chambers Global

"They provide a high level of service and have the ability to be a step ahead of what the client is thinking."

Chambers Global 2018

"The team not only provides legal advice, but also assesses client needs to provide practical and tailored solutions."

Chambers Global 2018

Named "Law Firm of the Year" & "Practice Group of the Year" in 7 Categories, including Construction and Environmental
Law360 2018

"Nationally Ranked in M&A/Corporate and Commercial and Private Equity: Buyouts

Legal 500 2019



250+

dedicated energy lawyers located around the world including in

New York, Atlanta, Houston, Austin, Charlotte, Chicago, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Tokyo, Singapore, Moscow, Frankfurt, Geneva, Paris, London, Abu Dhabi, Dubai and Riyadh.

"Extremely responsive, attentive and proactive; they understand the pacing of our deals and what our expectations are."

Chambers USA 2017

"We have received very effective strategic advice and consistently high standards of delivery and convincing results from them."

Chambers Asia-Pacific 2017

Ranked BAND 1: Construction

"They have a deep bench of lawyers across their offices. I cannot recommend these lawyers highly enough for their responsiveness, strategic thinking and construction experience."

Chambers USA 2019

KING & SPALDING