

JUNE 18, 2019

For more information,
contact:

Jeffrey R. Dutson
+1 404 572 2803
jdutson@kslaw.com

Sarah L. Primrose
+1 404 572 2734
sprimrose@kslaw.com

Nadia B. Saleem
+1 404 572 5775
nsaleem@kslaw.com

King & Spalding

Atlanta
1180 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3521
Tel: +1 404 572 4600

Fifth Circuit Denies Post-Petition Default Interest to Fully Secured Creditors

On June 14, 2019, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit issued an opinion¹ affirming bankruptcy and district court decisions finding that, under the terms of the confirmed chapter 11 bankruptcy plan, the debtor's lenders were not entitled to receive over thirty million dollars of post-petition default interest even though the lenders were fully secured. Despite the fact that the plan provided that the lenders were fully secured on "account of unpaid principal, plus unpaid interest . . . and other obligations arising under" the applicable loan documents, this provision was deemed not sufficiently specific to override the plan's general prohibition on post-petition default interest.

BACKGROUND

In 2016, Linn Energy and twelve of its affiliates (the "Debtors") filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy relief in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas. Prior to filing for bankruptcy, the Debtors had entered into a credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with over 40 lenders (collectively, the "Lenders") for loans in excess of a billion dollars. The Credit Agreement provided that in the event of a bankruptcy filing, interest would automatically accrue at a default rate of 2% above the otherwise applicable base rate. The Lenders filed proofs of claim that included claims for almost \$31.2 million in post-petition default interest.

The Debtors' bankruptcy plan (the "Plan") contained two relevant (and potentially contradictory) provisions. On one hand, Article III provided for the payment of "unpaid interest, fees . . . and other obligations arising under or in connection with" the Credit Agreement, "notwithstanding any other provision of this Plan to the contrary."² On the other hand, Article VI expressly prohibited post-petition default interest unless "**specifically** provided for in the Plan or the Confirmation Order."³

The Bankruptcy Court concluded that since there was no specific reference to "default interest" in Article III, that section was insufficient to



overcome the express prohibition against the payment of default interest included in Article VI. The Lenders appealed the decision to the district court, which affirmed the Bankruptcy Court.

FIFTH CIRCUIT'S DECISION

On appeal, the Fifth Circuit noted that the language of Article VI prohibited payment of default or post-petition interest unless "specifically provided for in the Plan or the Confirmation Order," and concluded that the Lender's claims for default interest should be disallowed because Article III of the Plan did not specifically reference "default interest."

CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED

Provisions generally disallowing post-petition and default interest, like Article VI of the Linn plan, are common. Chapter 11 debtors include these provisions to cut off claims for default and post-petition interest that may be asserted by a wide variety of creditors, including equipment lessors, contract counter-parties and trade creditors. Under the Fifth Circuit's opinion in this case, over-secured creditors that want to preserve and assert claims for post-petition default interest should ensure that the plan provisions regarding the treatment of their claims expressly and specifically provide for the payment of post-petition default interest.

ABOUT KING & SPALDING

Celebrating more than 130 years of service, King & Spalding is an international law firm that represents a broad array of clients, including half of the Fortune Global 100, with 1,100 lawyers in 20 offices in the United States, Europe, the Middle East and Asia. The firm has handled matters in over 160 countries on six continents and is consistently recognized for the results it obtains, uncompromising commitment to quality, and dedication to understanding the business and culture of its clients.

This alert provides a general summary of recent legal developments. It is not intended to be and should not be relied upon as legal advice. In some jurisdictions, this may be considered "Attorney Advertising."

ABU DHABI	CHICAGO	HOUSTON	NEW YORK	SILICON VALLEY
ATLANTA	DUBAI	LONDON	PARIS	SINGAPORE
AUSTIN	FRANKFURT	LOS ANGELES	RIYADH	TOKYO
CHARLOTTE	GENEVA	MOSCOW	SAN FRANCISCO	WASHINGTON, D.C.

¹ *In re Linn Energy, L.L.C.*, Case No. 18-40443, 2019 U.S. App. LEXIS 17934 (5th Cir. June 14, 2019).

² Article III.B.3(b) of the Plan provided "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this Plan to the contrary, on the Effective Date, the LINN Lender Claims are Allowed as fully Secured Claims under section 506(b) of the Bankruptcy Code having first lien priority in the amount of \$1.939 billion on account of unpaid principal, plus interest, fees, other expenses, and other obligations arising under or in connection with the LINN Lender Claims, or as set forth in the LINN Credit Agreement other Loan Documents (as defined in the LINN Credit Agreement), in each case, not subject either in whole or in part to off-set, disallowance or avoidance under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise, or any legal, contractual, or equitable theory for claims..."

³ Article VI.F provided "[u]nless otherwise specifically provided for in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, and notwithstanding any documents that govern the LINN Debtors' prepetition funded indebtedness to the contrary, (a) postpetition and/or default interest shall not accrue or be paid on any Claims and (b) no Holder of a Claim shall be entitled to: (i) interest accruing on or after the Petition Date on any such Claim; or (ii) interest at the contract default rate, as applicable."