

The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

JUNE 2019

EDITOR'S NOTE: CYBERCRIME

Steven A. Meyerowitz

UCC SECTION 4A-207(b) IN THE AGE OF CYBERCRIME

Benjamin W. Clements

HOUSE FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE PASSES CANNABIS BANKING BILL

D. Jean Veta, Michael Nonaka, and Jenny Scott Konko

U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDS FORECLOSURE FIRMS CONDUCTING NONJUDICIAL FORECLOSURES ARE NOT DEBT COLLECTORS UNDER THE FDCPA

Wayne Streibich, Diana M. Eng, Cheryl S. Chang, Jonathan M. Robbin, and Namrata Loomba

A NEW ERA OF EXTRATERRITORIAL SEC ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

Joshua D. Roth and Alexander R. Weiner

NY DFS CYBERSECURITY REGULATION, TWO YEARS IN—WHAT COMES NEXT?

Phyllis B. Sumner, Scott Ferber, Ehren Halse, John A. Horn, and William Johnson

THE PAYDAY RULE AND THE CFPB'S NEW LENSES

Quyen T. Truong

NEW YORK BANKRUPTCY COURT FINDS THAT AIRCRAFT LEASES' LIQUIDATED DAMAGES CLAUSES AND GUARANTEES ARE UNENFORCEABLE

Arthur J. Steinberg, Christopher T. Buchanan, Jason Huff, and Scott Davidson

PARTIES SETTLE MIDLAND FUNDING INTEREST RATE LITIGATION

Susan F. DiCicco and David I. Monteiro

HEADS OR TAILS? MAKING SENSE OF CRYPTO-TOKENS ISSUED BY EMERGING BLOCKCHAIN COMPANIES

Jeremy A. Herschaft and Michelle Ann Gitlitz

THE MANDATORY DISCLOSURE RULES FOR CRS AVOIDANCE ARRANGEMENTS AND OPAQUE OFFSHORE STRUCTURES: CAVEAT CONSILIARIO

Damien Rios



LexisNexis

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 136

NUMBER 6

June 2019

Editor's Note: Cybercrime Steven A. Meyerowitz	299
UCC Section 4A-207(b) in the Age of Cybercrime Benjamin W. Clements	302
House Financial Services Committee Passes Cannabis Banking Bill D. Jean Veta, Michael Nonaka, and Jenny Scott Konkko	312
U.S. Supreme Court Holds Foreclosure Firms Conducting Nonjudicial Foreclosures Are Not Debt Collectors Under the FDCPA Wayne Streibich, Diana M. Eng, Cheryl S. Chang, Jonathan M. Robbin, and Namrata Loomba	316
A New Era of Extraterritorial SEC Enforcement Actions Joshua D. Roth and Alexander R. Weiner	320
NY DFS Cybersecurity Regulation, Two Years In—What Comes Next? Phyllis B. Sumner, Scott Ferber, Ehren Halse, John A. Horn, and William Johnson	327
The Payday Rule and the CFPB's New Lenses Quyen T. Truong	331
New York Bankruptcy Court Finds That Aircraft Leases' Liquidated Damages Clauses and Guarantees Are Unenforceable Arthur J. Steinberg, Christopher T. Buchanan, Jason Huff, and Scott Davidson	335
Parties Settle Midland Funding Interest Rate Litigation Susan F. DiCicco and David I. Monteiro	339
Heads or Tails? Making Sense of Crypto-Tokens Issued by Emerging Blockchain Companies Jeremy A. Herschaft and Michelle Ann Gitlitz	342
The Mandatory Disclosure Rules for CRS Avoidance Arrangements and Opaque Offshore Structures: Caveat Consiliario Damien Rios	347



LexisNexis®

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Matthew T. Burke at (800) 252-9257
Email: matthew.t.burke@lexisnexus.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexus.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print)

Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2019 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexus.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

JAMES F. BAUERLE

Keevican Weiss Bauerle & Hirsch LLC

BARKLEY CLARK

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

MICHAEL J. HELLER

Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

SATISH M. KINI

Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY

Partner, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

GIVONNA ST. CLAIR LONG

Partner, Kelley Drye & Warren LLP

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

DAVID RICHARDSON

Partner, Dorsey & Whitney

STEPHEN T. SCHREINER

Partner, Goodwin Procter LLP

ELIZABETH C. YEN

Partner, Hudson Cook, LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2019 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

NY DFS Cybersecurity Regulation, Two Years In—What Comes Next?

*Phyllis B. Sumner, Scott Ferber, Ehren Halse, John A. Horn,
and William Johnson**

This article discusses New York Department of Financial Services cybersecurity regulation requirements and what regulated institutions can expect going forward.

March 1, 2019, marked the second anniversary and final effective date of the New York Department of Financial Services (“DFS”)’s cybersecurity regulation.¹ Since its enactment, regulated institutions,² subject to limited exemptions,³ have had to implement and maintain “robust” cybersecurity programs and file annual certifications with DFS attesting to their compliance. As set forth in

* Phyllis B. Sumner (psumner@kslaw.com), Scott Ferber (sferber@kslaw.com), Ehren Halse (ehalse@kslaw.com), John A. Horn (jhorn@kslaw.com), and William Johnson (wjohanson@kslaw.com) are partners at King & Spalding LLP.

¹ See 23 NYCRR 500, available at <https://www.dfs.ny.gov/docs/legal/regulations/adoptions/dfsrf500txt.pdf>.

² 23 NYCRR § 500.01(c) (defining a “covered entity” as “any person operating under or required to operate under a license, registration, charter, certificate, permit, accreditation or similar authorization under the Banking Law, the Insurance Law or the Financial Services Law”).

³ 23 NYCRR § 500.19 (exempting: (a) a covered entity (1) with fewer than 10 employees, including any independent contractors, of the covered entity or its affiliates located in New York or responsible for business of the covered entity, (2) with less than \$5,000,000 in gross annual revenue in each of the last three fiscal years from New York business operations of the covered entity and its affiliates, (3) with less than \$10,000,000 in year-end total assets, calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, including assets of all affiliates; (b) an employee, agent, representative, or designee of a covered entity, who is itself a covered entity, to the extent that they are covered by the cybersecurity program of the covered entity; (c) a covered entity that does not directly or indirectly operate, maintain, utilize, or control any information systems, and that does not, and is not required to, directly or indirectly control, own, access, generate, receive or possess nonpublic information, from the requirements of sections 500.02, 500.03, 500.04, 500.05, 500.06, 500.07, 500.08, 500.10, 500.12, 500.14, 500.15, and 500.16; or (d) a covered entity under Article 70 of the Insurance Law that does not and is not required to directly or indirectly control, own, access, generate, receive or possess nonpublic information other than information relating to its corporate parent company (or affiliates) from the requirements of sections 500.02, 500.03, 500.04, 500.05, 500.06, 500.07, 500.08, 500.10, 500.12, 500.14, 500.15, and 500.16. Notably, a covered entity that qualifies for an exemption must file a notice of exemption within 30 days of determining exemption applicability. See 23 NYCRR § 500.19(e). In addition, a covered entity that ceases to qualify for an exemption has 180 days from the end of the fiscal year to comply with all applicable requirements. See 23 NYCRR § 500.19(g).

more detail below, the mandated programs must contain core policies and procedures governing cybersecurity, involve risk assessments, and ensure oversight for company operations, employees, and third-party service providers. The cybersecurity regulation also requires regulated institutions to report qualifying cybersecurity events within 72 hours.

As of December 2018, DFS has received approximately 1,000 notices of cybersecurity events, with a “significant number” involving breaches stemming from credential-stealing email schemes. As a result of this activity, in a memorandum to the CEOs of regulated institutions, DFS has emphasized that institutions “make sure all persons who can access a company’s systems have the proper protections and are using the appropriate protections,” have “strong access controls and training,” and “embrace opportunities to improve and advance their cybersecurity readiness and systems.”⁴ DFS has further underscored “the importance of full compliance” with multi-factor authentication, “strong access controls and encryption for data in transit and at rest,” and “ongoing training.”⁵

DFS CYBERSECURITY REGULATION REQUIREMENTS

Regulated institutions, subject to limited exemptions, must implement, maintain, and annually certify to DFS that they have “robust” cybersecurity programs protecting the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of their information systems, including:

- a written *policy*, approved by the board of directors or a senior officer, setting forth the policies and procedures for protecting information systems and stored nonpublic information;
- a written *incident response plan* designed to promptly respond to, and recover from, a cybersecurity event;
- periodic, documented *risk assessments* of information systems, in accordance with written policies and procedures, updated as reasonably necessary to address changes to information systems, nonpublic information, or business operations;
- continuous *monitoring* or annual penetration testing and bi-annual vulnerability assessments;
- a qualified *Chief Information Security Officer* responsible for overseeing and implementing the cybersecurity program and enforcing cybersecu-

⁴ https://www.dfs.ny.gov/system/files/documents/2019/01/cyber_memo_12212018.pdf.

⁵ *Id.*

rity, who submits written reports, at least annually, to the board of directors or a senior officer;

- secure systems that can sufficiently *reconstruct material financial transactions* (to the extent applicable and based on the institution’s risk assessment);
- secure systems that generate *audit trails* designed to detect and respond to cybersecurity events (to the extent applicable and based on the institution’s risk assessment);
- data retention and secure destruction policies and procedures, in accordance with defined *recordkeeping* timetables;
- limited *user access privileges* to information systems that provide access to nonpublic information, with periodic review of those access privileges (based on the institution’s risk assessment);
- written *procedures, guidelines, and standards* governing internally and externally developed *applications*, which are to be periodically reviewed;
- written policies and procedures governing information systems and nonpublic information accessed or held by *third-party service providers* (based on the institution’s risk assessment);
- qualified cybersecurity *personnel* and intelligence;
- ongoing *training and monitoring* for all authorized users; and
- *effective controls*, which may include multi-factor authentication, risk-based authentication, encryption, and effective alternative compensating controls (based on the institution’s risk assessment).⁶

WHAT COMES NEXT?

What can DFS-regulated institutions expect going forward? Now that the cybersecurity regulation is effective, and DFS has in its hands two years’ worth of incident notices and certification information, regulated institutions can reasonably expect continuing, rigorous oversight and enforcement of non-compliance. DFS will likely use all of its powers of supervision (including yearly provision of licenses to operate in New York) and/or examination of regulated institutions to ensure compliance with the cybersecurity regulation. The fact that regulated institutions have fully complied to date does not keep them in safe waters in perpetuity.

⁶ 23 NYCRR §§ 500.00, et seq.

As the regulations and DFS's own public statements reinforce, institutions must be continually vigilant in assessing their cybersecurity risk and maintaining (and documenting) appropriate programs and steps to address that risk in a "robust fashion."⁷ Concerning consequences for non-compliance, the text of the cybersecurity regulation does not detail how penalties and fines may be calculated or assessed. During the public comment period, DFS responded to requests for additional details as to such enforcement mechanisms by saying only that the existing language was "sufficient." Enforcement actions under the cybersecurity regulation could stem from the general authority of DFS under the New York Banking Law, which allows for penalties for violations as high as \$2,500 per day during which a violation continues, \$15,000 per day in the event of any reckless or unsound practice or pattern of misconduct, and \$75,000 per day in the event of a knowing and willful violation.⁸ Given DFS's clearly expressed intent to move regulated institutions to compliance, and the potentially significant penalties at DFS's disposal, regulated institutions should make every effort to ensure compliance with the cybersecurity regulation's numerous obligations.

⁷ See, e.g., 23 NYCRR § 500.00 ("This regulation requires each company to assess its specific risk profile and design a program that addresses its risks in a robust fashion."); https://www.dfs.ny.gov/system/files/documents/2019/01/cyber_memo_12212018.pdf ("Accordingly, by March 1, 2019, all banks, insurance companies, and other financial services institutions and licensees regulated by DFS will be required to have a robust cybersecurity program in place that is designed to protect consumers' private data").

⁸ See NYBANK § 44.